



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/772,035	02/03/2004	Michael K. Saltz	30014200-2046	3880
58328 7590 09/25/2008 SUN MICROSYSTEMS C/O SONNENSCHN NATH & ROSENTHAL LLP P.O. BOX 061080 WACKER DRIVE STATION, SEARS TOWER CHICAGO, IL 60606-1080				
EXAMINER				
PARTHASARATHY, PRAMILA				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2136				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
09/25/2008		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

***Terminal Disclaimer***

The terminal disclaimer filed on 9/12/2008 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration dates of Patents 6,418,444; 6,742,006 and copending application 10/743,929 has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.

***Response to Arguments***

Applicant's arguments filed 9/12/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant primarily argues that prior art reference does not disclose "determining whether a security identification can be authenticated before it is presented to a firewall control block or Java™ compliant applet", however agrees that Montgomery teaches "authenticating a client at a server, which is behind a firewall". Examiner would first like to point out that the Applicant has NOT claimed "determining whether a security identification can be authenticated before it is presented to a firewall control block or Java™ compliant applet" (emphasis added) and in the event such an amendment to the claims were presented, Examiner would update the search to consider the newly presented different scope of the claim limitations.

As such, Montgomery teaches ""determining whether a security identification can be authenticated before it is presented to a firewall control block or Java™ compliant applet", as already agreed by the Applicant. Examiner suggests amending the claims.

/Pramila Parthasarathy/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2136  
September 23, 2008